



# EpiMap: Predicting Epigenetic Targets with KG Embedding Models\*

HCLS @ KGC 2022





Payal Mitra

Thom Pijnenburg

Ted Slater

May 2, 2022







#### Image from National Institutes of Health - http://commonfund.nih.gov/epigenomics/figure.aspx

#### Epigenetics – factors that impact cellular function

Genes encode instructions that are "read" to produce proteins; proteins do most of the physiological work in cells.

Abnormalities in protein synthesis can lead to disease

- Genetic causes: mutations, deletions, etc
- Epigenetic causes: genes become physically (in)accessible within to transcription machinery.

Why study epigenetics in oncology for target discovery?

- Epigenetic changes are prolific in cancer.
- Unlike genetic alterations, epigenetic alterations can be reversed.
- Design small molecules to reverse harmful epigenetic changes.



- >12,000 Epi publications each year.
- Unmet need to
  - Consolidate all epigenetic information.
  - Use the existing knowledge to identify novel epigenetic targets.
- Key strategic pillar for Oncology R&D community.





AstraZeneca

### EpiMap KG unlocks Text

- The scientific literature contains vast amounts of information relevant to pharmaceutical R&D.
- Getting that information out of natural language and into a usable form is a huge challenge, because the scientific community uses different terminologies and formats.
- Literature-mined KGs address biological and technological complexity
  - Inject semantics and represent relations in context, e.g. directionality.
  - Support multi-hop path traversals, and explainable hypotheses
  - Capture evolution of knowledge in text-sources.
- **EpiMap** is Elsevier's Biology Knowledge Graph ("ResNet") + assertions mined from literature describing epigenetic effects.





## About EpiMap KG



EpiMap KG has ..

- 1.5M Vertices
- 13.2M Edges
- Extracted from 7M documents

Collaboration with AstraZeneca Oncology with the goal to



### EpiMap KG for Search and Discover

• Researcher Q:

Could Drug Target Interactions (DTI) with genes that are x hops away from Inflammatory Bowel Syndrome (IBS) potentially regulate IBS? I want to view these DTI paths.

- Step 1: # 1hop genes associated to IBS 256
- Step 2: Friends of friends, i.e., 2nd hop genes 10988
- Step 3: Filter only those genes which have known DTIs # Genes - 2477 # DTI Paths – 5891





### EpiMap provides deep insights into drug activity space



Which Genes co-sensitize to PARP inhibitors in context of disease?

- Leverage multi-hop patterns.
- Identify alternate 'directed' paths driving drug response/resistance.
- Generate novel hypotheses
   informing prospective validation
   studies.







#### Today





Predict



# Building KGE models for link prediction

 An end to end pipeline for building, selecting and applying KGE models for link prediction\*







\*Utilised the PyKEEN library to support development Ali, M., Berrendorf, M., Hoyt, C. T., Vermue, L., Sharifzadeh, S., Tresp, V., & Lehmann, J. (2021). PyKEEN 1.0: A Python Library for Training and Evaluating Knowledge Graph Embeddings. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 22(82), 1–6. Retrieved from http://jmlr.org/papers/v22/20-825.html

#### Data selection – Subgraph variants



Full graph contains

- 1.5M vertices
  - Include entities like protein, disease, but also processes, locations and groups (e.g. cell death, liver, complex)
- 13.2M edges (66.8M supporting references)
  - Physical interactions, disease/cell processes, gene expression

KGE models learn from triples, we have the freedom to choose what entities and relations to include

- Concise vs all-encompassing graphs
- Focus models on specific processes, e.g. PPI
- Isn't more data always better?



\* E=entities; R=relations

#### Data selection - Preprocessing

- Control granularity with various encodings
  - Can we make vague patterns more explicit?
- Trade-off granularity vs n\_rels vs n\_train
- Control confidence or FP rate with refcount filter



Granularity



### Model Selection - Data splitting and evaluation

Evaluation procedure

- Task holdout (disease target prediction) across all models and subgraphs
- Per subgraph 90/5/5 train/valid/test split
- Corroborate predictions with OpenTargetsPlatform

Option to split

- Randomly
- Time-based

Metrics from Information Retrieval

- Hits@k
- Variations of mean rank, e.g. Inverse Arithmetic Mean Rank (IAMR) - (0, 1]
- Evaluate models on hard task: all entities in context of all relations.
- New metrics pop up (Berrendorf et al., 2020)



Berrendorf, M., Faerman, E., Vermue, L., & Tresp, V. (2020). On the Ambiguity of Rank-Based Evaluation of Entity Alignment or Link Prediction Methods. arXiv. https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2002.06914



#### Model Selection - KG Embedding Models



- KG Embeddings (KGE) derive vector representations of entities and relations in the graph.
- Scores and ranks all possible entities by their likelihood of completing the link { *head, rel, ???* }.
- Lookup embeddings with enforced structure through scoring function, e.g. TransE vs ComplEx vs RotatE.

- TransE: Bordes, A., Usunier, N., Garcia-Durán, A., Weston, J., & Yakhnenko, O. (2013). Translating Embeddings for Modeling Multi-Relational Data. In Proceedings of the 26th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems - Volume 2 (pp. 2787–2795). Red Hook, NY, USA: Curran Associates Inc.
- ComplEx: Trouillon, T., Welbl, J., Riedel, S., Gaussier, É., & Bouchard, G. (2016). Complex Embeddings for Simple Link Prediction. arXiv. https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.1606.06357



Figure 1: Illustrations of TransE and RotatE with only 1 dimension of embedding.

Model	Score Function	Symmetry	Antisymmetry	Inversion	Composition		
SE	$- \left\  oldsymbol{W}_{r,1} \mathbf{h} - oldsymbol{W}_{r,2} \mathbf{t}  ight\ $	×	×	×	×		
TransE	$-\ \mathbf{h}+\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{t}\ $	×	1	1	1		
TransX	$\ -\ g_{r,1}(\mathbf{h})+\mathbf{r}-g_{r,2}(\mathbf{t})\ $	1	1	×	X		
DistMult	$\langle {f h}, {f r}, {f t}  angle$	1	X	×	X		
ComplEx	$\operatorname{Re}(\langle \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{r}, \overline{\mathbf{t}}  angle)$	1	1	1	×		
RotatE	$- \ \mathbf{h} \circ \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{t}\ $	1	1	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	✓ ✓		

Table 2: The pattern modeling and inference abilities of several models.

Resources from: Sun, Z., Deng, Z.-H., Nie, J.-Y., & Tang, J. (2019). RotatE: Knowledge Graph Embedding by Relational Rotation in Complex Space. arXiv. https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.1902.10197

#### Model Selection - Results and nuances



- Choice of meaningful metric on single model
  - Tadeoff between Link Prediction training objective and end-task specificity
    - Link Prediction KGE models are trained to learn embedding representations of KG entities and relations such that they can predict 'all' relations in context of each other, and not singular relation task
    - However, end task favours selecting model based on performance of predicting disease-gene association relations only
  - Metric type
    - Ranking and information retrieval metrics used, and not classification metrics of PRF
    - Graph density influences prediction performance -> Model is better at predicting ranks of high-degree nodes in test set, rather than in sparser regions?



#### Model Selection - Results and nuances

Choice across models trained with different subgraph and algorithm variants







#### Observations

- Oncology specific smaller subgraph > larger subgraphs
- RotatE > ComplEx for our data
- Is this a consequence of structure in underlying data model?
- Proxy measures indicate underlying data model to have xx extent of composition relations

Hits@100 on validation split (Larger is better)



### Model Selection - Hyperparameter optimisation

- ELSEVIER
- KGE models very sensitive to training setup, hyperparameters, parameter initialisation seeds and different splits in the dataset. (Bonner et al., 2021)
- Need to experiment A LOT
- Can we be smart about how we expend computational budget?



Bonner, S., Barrett, I.P., Ye, C., Swiers, R., Engkvist, O., Hoyt, C.T., Hamilton, W.L.: Understanding the performance of knowledge graph embeddings in drug discovery (2021). <u>https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2105.10488</u>, https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.10488

#### Interpreting ML scores - Validating target predictions with experts



Protein ?

How to validate a "novel" / unseen gene target prediction?

- Experts assess plausibility of predicted targets
- Model outputs large number of predictions. To shortlist before expert review, infuse biology priors, and reduce 'desk to lab-bench time'



TNBC

How did we shortlist?

 Reinforce prediction signals -> An ideal gene target should have multiple desired characteristics (Ex: Expression, druggability, mode of action, etc).
 Obtain intersection of genes predicted to have multiple association routes



#### Today



#### Demo



#### Quick look at KGE based Link Predictions for Disease Target associations

$\leftrightarrow$ $\rightarrow$ $C$ (i) localhost:8501								Q	≙☆	+	ж.	=, [
	×											
Predicting Proteins associated to given Disease			Predi	ictions pred_Protein 72057594037930118	Disease_name tnbc	pred_Protein_name CDKN2A	rank_GeneticChange					
prostrate_cancer	•		2 3	72057594037939816 72057594037931261	tnbc tnbc	VDR ACE	2					
Select relation types to predict			4 5	72057594037935294 72057594037928173	tnbc prostrate_cancer	MDM2 APOE	4	)				
GeneticChange_Dis X	•		6 7	72057594037930118 72057594037937208	prostrate_cancer ovarian_cancer	CDKN2A PRKCA	0	1				
Predict top candidate links			8	72057594037930060 72057594037937600	ovarian_cancer ovarian_cancer	CDC42 RAC1	1					
Join OpenTargetsPlatform data			10	72057594037934748	crpc	KRAS	0					
	Publiced.gov > Articarear Res. 2016 Ret. 3 Apolipoprotein I Cancer Fact Works <sup>1</sup> , sets Oale Any Social Cancer Pact Works <sup>1</sup> , sets Oale Any Social Cancer Pact Works <sup>1</sup> , sets Oale Any Social Cancer Pact Social Million Cancer Any Social Cancer Any Social Cancer Any Social Cancer Pact Social Cancer Any Social Cancer Any Social Cancer Pact Social Cancer Any Social Cancer A	Advanced  A	Image         Image           s in Patients with Prosta           s in Patients with solution           s in Patients with prosta           s in patients           in patients      <	al bee te								

Validation of EpiMap results with AZ knowledge/expertise shows value in Knowledge Graph approach





#### EpiMap helps reprioritize internal Epigenetic targets

Pan-indication epigenetic signature

Co-location/modulation with drugs of interest



EpiMap identifies resistance paths in patients treated with PARPi\*

Identified established & validated resistance mechanisms Identified >100 testable pathways of drug resistance.

Expanded our search space by ~10x





#### Parting note



- First text-mined epigenetics Knowledge Graph spanning disease segments, including 13.2M context-specific relationships mined from 7M documents.
- Demonstrated that traversable FAIR KGs derived from scientific literature are valuable resources in complex domains, and complementary to scientist's expertise through the scale and usability they offer.
- ML applied to KGs, such as link prediction, can help discover and prioritise potential therapeutic interventions and improve understanding of disease biology, mechanisms of drug resistance, and more.
- Nuances in KGE for link prediction
  - Performance of KGE models affected by many factors: No free lunch!
  - Predictions are context dependent and infusing biological signals assists scientists in novelty validation.
  - Validation of 'novel' target candidates is hard, but ongoing validation by AstraZeneca experts seems promising
- Identified need for ranked hypothesis rather than ranked genes

#### References



- TransE: Bordes, A., Usunier, N., Garcia-Durán, A., Weston, J., & Yakhnenko, O. (2013). Translating Embeddings for Modeling Multi-Relational Data. In *Proceedings of the 26th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems - Volume 2* (pp. 2787– 2795). Red Hook, NY, USA: Curran Associates Inc.
- ComplEx: Trouillon, T., Welbl, J., Riedel, S., Gaussier, É., & Bouchard, G. (2016). Complex Embeddings for Simple Link Prediction. arXiv. https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.1606.06357
- Ali, M., Berrendorf, M., Hoyt, C. T., Vermue, L., Sharifzadeh, S., Tresp, V., & Lehmann, J. (2021). PyKEEN 1.0: A Python Library for Training and Evaluating Knowledge Graph Embeddings. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 22(82), 1–6. Retrieved from http://jmlr.org/papers/v22/20-825.html
- Bonner, S., Barrett, I.P., Ye, C., Swiers, R., Engkvist, O., Hoyt, C.T., Hamilton, W.L.: Understanding the performance of knowledge graph embeddings in drug discovery (2021). <u>https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2105.10488</u>, https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.10488
- Rossi, A., & Matinata, A. (2020). Knowledge Graph Embeddings: Are Relation-Learning Models Learning Relations? In *EDBT/ICDT Workshops*.
- Berrendorf, M., Faerman, E., Vermue, L., Tresp, V.: Interpretable and fair comparison of link prediction or entity alignment methods with adjusted mean rank. arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.06914 (2020)
- Berrendorf, M., Faerman, E., Vermue, L., & Tresp, V. (2020). On the Ambiguity of Rank-Based Evaluation of Entity Alignment or Link Prediction Methods. arXiv. https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2002.06914



• <Placeholder for sample tutorial notebook using public data>

Related Work: DiscoveryLab

Also find out more about our AI on KG related research at <u>discoverylab.ai</u> or <u>icai.ai/discovery-lab</u>



Want to know more? Drop us a line:

- <u>p.mitra@elsevier.com</u>
- <u>t.pijnenburg@elsevier.com</u>
- <u>t.slater@elsevier.com</u>

